

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 Edward H. Edgerton, Jr.,

4 Plaintiff

5 v.

6 Hillard, et al.,

7 Defendant

Case No.: 2:23-cv-00693-APG-NJK

**Order Affirming Magistrate Judge's
Orders**

[ECF Nos. 54, 56]

8 Magistrate Judge Koppe denied defendant Alexander Hillard's motion for leave to submit
9 a declaration *ex parte* for *in camera* review. ECF No. 53. Hillard appeals that decision, arguing
10 that the declaration contains confidential security information that cannot be disclosed to plaintiff
11 Edward Edgerton. ECF No. 54. Judge Koppe's order is not "clearly erroneous or contrary to
12 law." Local Rule IB 3-1(a). She correctly stated that *in camera* review is disfavored. Moreover,
13 Hillard could have filed the declaration *ex parte* under Local Rule IA 7-2(b) with an explanation
14 why it must not be shared with Hillard.

15 Judge Koppe also partially granted the motion to compel filed by Edgerton. ECF No. 55.
16 Hillard appeals that ruling as to amended request for production number 5. ECF No. 56 at 1.
17 Judge Koppe's order is not "clearly erroneous or contrary to law." LR IB 3-1(a).

18 I THEREFORE ORDER that Magistrate Judge Koppe's orders (ECF Nos. 53, 55) are
19 affirmed, and Hillard's appeals (ECF Nos. 54, 56) are denied.

20 DATED this 29th day of May, 2025.

21 
22 ANDREW P. GORDON
23 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE